

RYAN L. DENNETT, ESQ.
 Nevada Bar No. 005617
rdennett@dennettwinspear.com
 JENNIFER INSLEY MICHERI, ESQ.
 Nevada Bar No. 10089
jinsley-micheri@dennettwinspear.com
DENNETT WINSPEAR, LLP
 3301 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 195
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129
 Telephone: (702) 839-1100
 Facsimile: (702) 839-1113
***Attorneys for Defendant, State Farm
 Mutual Automobile Insurance Company***

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

TERRY GRANT KITCHENS,

Plaintiff,

Case No: 2:23-00545-GMN-VCF

vs.

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
 CONTINUE DISCOVERY
 (FIRST JOINT REQUEST)**

STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE
 INSURANCE COMPANY, and DOES I-X, and
 ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

COME NOW, the parties above named, by and through counsel, and move the Honorable Magistrate Judge for an Order continuing Discovery and submit the instant Stipulation in accordance with LR 6-1 and LR 26-4. The parties have conferred and agree that an extension of discovery is both necessary and warranted. This request contains basis to extend for good cause.

The parties met and conferred on August 1, 2023 pursuant to FRCP 26(f). The parties have exchanged their respective FRCP Productions. A proposed stipulated discovery plan and scheduling order was presented to the Court, and the Court issued its Order Approving Discovery Plan on June 5, 2023 [Doc 12]. Thereafter, during July and August 2023, Defendant

1 has attempted to set the deposition of Plaintiff which cannot be accomplished until later
2 September 2023, due to Plaintiff counsel's calendaring conflicts. As such and in order to
3 complete timely initial factual and expert discovery, the parties respectfully request an extension
4 of the current discovery dates. The parties have conferred and agree that an additional
5 extension of time in which to complete all remaining discovery is warranted, good cause exists
6 for the extension, and the parties submit an additional extension of time is warranted, as detailed
7 herein. The parties are currently working to set the Plaintiff's deposition to go forward in later
8 September 2023, and complete initial expert discovery.

9 An extension of time for discovery is necessary and good cause exists for the extension
10 pursuant to Local Rule 26-4. Pursuant to that Rule, the parties submit the following:

11 The parties hereby request that the close of discovery in this matter be continued for sixty
12 days (60) days. This Stipulation is supported by good cause, and the request to extend the date
13 for close of discovery was submitted prior to twenty-one days before the close of discovery. .

14 This Stipulation is supported by good cause. Since the Court issued its Order Approving
15 Discovery Plan [Doc 12], the parties have worked diligently to complete discovery, but were
16 unable to complete all necessary discovery, have exchanged documents and written discovery,
17 and disclosed experts; however, require additional time in order to set depositions of treating
18 doctors and experts due partly to scheduling issues and ability to set depositions of party experts
19 with potential unavailability of expert dates within the current dates for discovery. The parties are
20 now working to complete necessary discovery, but require time in which to do so.

21 Specifically, Defense is working with Plaintiff's counsel to obtain all necessary,
22 outstanding medical records (pre and post-accident, as well as for continuing injury claims) and
23 in order to set necessary treating provider and expert depositions within the discovery period,
24 and in order to appropriate supplemental expert reporting may be completed and the parties
25 continue to working together to notice several depositions of experts.

26 . . .

27 . . .

28 . . .

1 The failure to complete initial factual (plaintiff's deposition) and expert discovery is the
2 result of excusable neglect. Specifically, the parties have encountered difficulty in setting
3 plaintiff's deposition as is more fully explained above, due to scheduling and availability.

4 Despite party efforts, additional time is required in order to complete the necessary
5 depositions enumerated herein.

6 An extension of time in which to complete discovery is necessary, good cause exists for
7 the extension pursuant to Local Rule 26-4 and the failure to complete discovery was the result of
8 excusable neglect and is supported by good cause as described herein. The parties need time
9 in which to conduct necessary depositions of relevant experts in connection with Plaintiff's claims
10 of continuing injury.

11 An extension of time in which to complete discovery is necessary, good cause exists for
12 the extension pursuant to Local Rule 26-4 and the request for extension for extension of the
13 close of discovery in order to complete necessary depositions and discovery. Specifically, the
14 parties are working to set the deposition of Plaintiff and complete initial expert discovery. Thus,
15 the parties need time in which to explore additional issues presented during litigation, with
16 respect to Plaintiff's claims, obtain necessary records and conduct necessary depositions in
17 connection with Plaintiff's claims of continuing injury.

18 An extension is also warranted and good cause exists because Defendant has been
19 diligent in its efforts to obtain relevant medical records, billing, and documents (for both pre and
20 post-accident treatment and conditions) but has not yet received all of the records sought, and to
21 enable the parties to gather evidence necessary in which to support any request for dispositive
22 relief in this matter, and so that the parties may adequately prepare their case in anticipation of
23 trial.

24 ...

25 ...

26 ...

27 ...

28 ...

1 The parties are requesting an extension of the close of discovery so testimony of relevant
2 experts and party representatives may be obtained and expert reporting completed. Thereafter,
3 further time is necessary in order for the parties to provide an expert with relevant records for
4 expert review and evaluation, with resulting opinion.

5 This Stipulation and request for extension of the close of discovery is made more than
6 twenty-one (21) days before the expiration of the deadline for discovery in this case, and initial
7 expert disclosure deadline which is currently October 9, 2023 (with Plaintiff's deposition not
8 possible until late September 2023 due to Plaintiff counsel's availability).

9 **(a) DISCOVERY THAT HAS BEEN COMPLETED:**

10 Counsel for the respective parties participated in a discovery planning conference
11 pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f). Lists of witnesses and document productions were thereafter
12 exchanged by and between the parties, as well as several supplemental productions made by
13 the parties hereto.

14 Following Plaintiff's initial disclosures, Defendant propounded written discovery on
15 Plaintiff which has been responded to, and parties are currently working to set Plaintiff's
16 deposition. During discovery, Defendant requested and Plaintiff provided additional, executed
17 authorizations to obtain additional, relevant pre and post-accident records and Defendant has
18 requested those additional records pursuant to the authorizations and currently awaits receipt of
19 those records. Plaintiff recently propounded written discovery on Defendant. The parties are
20 currently working to set the remaining and necessary depositions.

21 **(b) DISCOVERY THAT REMAINS TO BE COMPLETED PURSUANT TO LR 26-3(b):**

22 The parties are currently in the process of conducting additional written and factual
23 discovery, followed by depositions of parties, experts and treating physicians. Specifically, the
24 parties are currently working to set the deposition of Plaintiff, followed by providers and medical
25 experts as identified herein. Additionally, the parties anticipate potentially conducting additional
26 expert discovery, and additional factual and expert discovery and depositions.

27 . . .

28 . . .

Despite the fact that the parties have worked together to complete this necessary discovery and set depositions, the discovery and depositions have not yet been noticed due to lack of availability for testimony within the current discovery timeline.

(c) REASONS DISCOVERY WILL NOT BE COMPLETED WITHIN THE TIME LIMITS SET BY THE DISCOVERY PLAN:

Further time is necessary in order for the parties to complete party and expert discovery and depositions, which timeline was complicated by plaintiff's counsel relative availability for deposition of Plaintiff until later September 2023.

Additional time is needed to depose parties, physicians, and the failure to set depositions within the relevant discovery timeline excusable neglect due to scheduling and availability counsel. Counsel is working together to complete necessary remaining discovery, but require additional time in which to do so.

(d) PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING REMAINING DISCOVERY:

The parties hereby stipulate and request that discovery dates in this matter be continued for an additional (60) days.

CURRENT DATE	PROPOSED DATE
Close of Discovery: December 7, 2023	February 5, 2024
Amend Pleadings/add parties: September 8, 2023	November 7, 2023
Expert Disclosures: October 9, 2023	December 7, 2023
Rebuttal Experts: November 8, 2023	January 5, 2023 ¹

¹ Deadline falls on Saturday, January 6, 2024 and is therefore relegated to Friday, January 5, 2024.

Dispositive Motions: January 5, 2024

March 6, 2024

Pre-Trial Order: February 5, 2024

April 5, 2024

If dispositive motions are filed, the deadline for filing the joint pretrial order will be suspended until 30 days after decision on the dispositive motions or further court order.

DATED: 8-11-2023

DATED: 8-11-2023

HANRATTY LAW GROUP**DENNETT WINSPEAR, LLP**

By /s/ Kevin M. Hanratty
 KEVIN M. HANRATTY, ESQ.
 Nevada Bar No. 7734
 1815 Village Center Circle, Ste 140
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
 Telephone: 702-821-1379
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Terry Kitchens

By /s/ Jennifer Insley Micheri
 JENNIFER INSLEY MICHERI, ESQ.
 Nevada Bar No. 10089
 3301 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 195
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129
 Telephone: 702-839-1100
Attorneys for Defendant, State Farm
Mutual Automobile Insurance Company

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 8-14-2023


 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE